Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/612,226	JANKOWSKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	CYNTHIA LEE	1795		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	iled within two months	s of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	•	E below);		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finding reje	otod oldiirio.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
non-allowable claim(s).	• ,	•	J	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will not	be entered	
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		··· , ········		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
13. X Other: Form 892 - Notice of Reference Cited.				
/PATRICK RYAN/	/Cynthia Lee/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795	Examiner, Art Unit 1795			
•				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The 35 USC 112,1st rejection is maintained because the Specification does not support that the label 50 is the heating element.

The Examiner remains unpersuaded with respect to the prior art arguments.

Applicant argues that the Kukula's heater is in a combustor out of the fuel path, and not along a fuel path upstream from the feuel cell stack. In response, it is noted that the heater heats the combustor in which the heat is used to heat the incoming fuel, and thus is located along a fuel path upstream from the fuel cell stack.

Applicant argues that the combustor of Keskula burns MeOH, and would burn up Maru's fuel and would not convert or reform sufficient amount of fuel into hydrogen. In response, the Examiner notes that the modification would entail the same configuration of Keskula into Maru, i.e., the byproducts of the fuel effluent would be converted into heat through the heater to heat the incoming MeOH of Maru, and not convert the incoming MeOH into hydrogen.

Refer to Applicant's fig. 10. It is noted that The Applicant's fuel (206) heated by the combustor is not along the fuel path upstream from the fuel cell because it does not enter the fuel cell, but exits by 208. The fuel path heated by the heater 206 and 208 is not part of the fuel path that enters the fuel cell 214. The fuel path 206 and 208 is a separate fuel path from the fuel path that enters the fuel cell 214.

Regarding the Examiner's Official Notice, Applicants are refered to Thielman US 6454978, 6:50-53.